

1819 Six Mile Highway Central, SC 29630

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 993 Students

PrincipalSharon Huff864-624-4430SuperintendentLee D'Andrea, Ph.D.864-855-8150

Board Chair Dr. B. J. Skelton 864-868-9691



RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

| Year | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating |
|------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2008 | Good | At-Risk |
| 2007 | Excellent | Good |
| 2006 | Excellent | Good |
| 2005 | Excellent | Good |
| 2004 | Excellent | Excellent |

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- At-Risk District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

| ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS* | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | | | |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by September 30.

| High School Assessment Program(HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Student | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|------|----------------------|------|--|--|--|
| | Our | High Sch | nool | | Schools ents Like | | | | |
| Percent | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | | | |
| Passed 2 subtests (%) | 93.8 | 85.7 | 91.2 | 83.7 | 84.2 | 89.6 | | | |
| Passed 1 subtest (%) | 3.3 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 6.4 | | | |
| Passed no subtests (%) | 2.9 | 7.1 | 3.8 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 | | | |

| HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2008 | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Our High School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Percent | 96.6% | 96.1% |

| On-Time Graduation Rate | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Our High School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Number of Students | 267 | 265 |
| Number of Diplomas | 206 | 208 |
| Rate | 77.2% | 79.0% |

| End of Course Tests | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on: | Our High School | High Schools with Students Like Ours* |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | 89.3 | 78.1 |
| English 1 | 83.4 | 76.5 |
| Physical Science | 66.1 | 63.9 |
| All Tests | 79.1 | 71.7 |

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

| Students (n=993) | Our School | Change from Last Year | High Schools with Students Like Ours | Median High School |
|--|------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Retention rate | 3.9% | Up from 3.6% | 5.3% | 6.1% |
| Attendance rate | 95.1% | Down from 95.4% | 95.9% | 95.0% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 24.7% | Up from 23.2% | 18.2% | 8.3% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 10.7% | Down from 11.3% | 10.3% | 13.0% |
| Older than usual for grade | 7.2% | Up from 3.8% | 6.7% | 8.5% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 1.7% | Up from 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.5% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 24.8% | Down from 26.6% | 25.9% | 11.4% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | 74.8% | N/A | 59.6% | 54.3% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 64.6% | Up from 36.4% | 41.2% | 30.5% |
| Annual dropout rate | 3.7% | Up from 2.9% | 1.8% | 3.5% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 0.0% | No Change | 0.9% | 3.1% |
| Enrollment in career/technology courses | 507 | Up from 454 | 585 | 559 |
| Students participating in work-based experiences | 3.2% | Down from 8.9% | 7.4% | 10.6% |
| Career/technology students attaining technical skills | 85.9% | Up from 84.0% | 85.3% | 79.6% |
| Career/technology completers placed | N/A | N/A | 98.9% | 98.5% |
| Teachers (n=56) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 80.4% | Up from 73.2% | 63.2% | 57.4% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 85.7% | No Change | 70.8% | 69.6% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 1.9% | Down from 4.0% | 5.0% | 8.7% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 92.7% | Down from 92.8% | 87.2% | 85.0% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 95.0% | Down from 95.2% | 95.8% | 95.4% |
| Average teacher salary | \$51,952 | Up 5.3% | \$47,639 | \$46,061 |
| Professional development days/teacher | 12.0 days | Up from 10.1 days | 13.3 days | 11.4 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 3.0 | Up from 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 29.4 to 1 | Up from 29.1 to 1 | 27.5 to 1 | 25.4 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 89.1% | Down from 89.7% | 90.5% | 89.1% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$6,632 | Up 3.7% | \$6,806 | \$7,279 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 53.3% | Down from 55.6% | 57.6% | 55.3% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction* | 58.3% | Up from 57.8% | 62.5% | 60.8% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Parents attending conferences | 100.0% | No Change | 99.0% | 94.2% |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No Change | Yes | Yes |
| Character development program | Below Average | No Change | Good | Good |
| Modern Language Program Assessment | Average | N/A | Good | Good |
| Classical Language Program Assessment | N/A | N/A | N/A | Average |

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

| | | sage Rate by g 2008 | | | | | raduation Ra | | |
|----------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|------------------------|--|--|
| | n | % | t | % | n | % | Met State Objective | | |
| All Students | 232 | 96.6% | 716 | 79.1% | 267 | 77.2% | No | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 112 | 99.1% | 346 | 75.7% | 122 | 78.7% | N/A | | |
| Female | 114 | 99.1% | 370 | 82.2% | 123 | 88.6% | N/A | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 183 | 99.5% | 518 | 83.0% | 196 | 85.2% | N/A | | |
| Africian American | 30 | 96.7% | 96 | 54.2% | 36 | 75.0% | N/A | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | 38 | 92.1% | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Hispanic | N/A | N/A | 28 | 71.4% | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Disabled | 21 | 95.2% | 91 | 60.4% | 22 | 72.7% | N/A | | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | 41 | 75.6% | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 52 | 96.2% | 199 | 62.8% | 59 | 66.1% | N/A | | |

^{*} n=number of students on which percentage is calculated. t=number of tests taken.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

D. W. Daniel High School opened in 1955 when three community high schools were consolidated into a centrally-located facility. Though much has changed in our community since 1955, the desire of our parents remains the same: to make sure their children receive the best education possible in a society much different from the one they entered after high school. Daniel High School reflects the community's desire in its mission to "prepare students for the challenges and opportunities of an ever-changing, technological, global society in a safe, caring, and community-supported environment."

Daniel High School is one of four high schools in a rural county-wide school district. Our student population of approximately 1000 is enrolled in grades 9-12. DHS has established a tradition of excellence that is not only evident throughout all areas of our school but is also recognized as a school that provides a top-notch education for our students. We offer 14 AP courses with a combined enrollment of 107 students. Our students routinely score above state and national averages on standardized tests, and our SAT and ACT scores place us among the top of South Carolina high schools each year. This year our school was recognized by US News and World Report and Newsweek as one of the top high schools in the nation. Additionally, Daniel High's excellence has been rewarded with the Palmetto Gold Award each year.

Daniel's successes are not limited to academic performance. Our students excel in extracurricular activities as well. Students participate on the math team, academic teams, and the mock trial team. They compete on the Biology Merit Exam and in foreign language declamation contests. Leadership is cultivated through AFJROTC, Youth in Government, and student council. Many of our 26 athletic teams regularly compete for regional and state championships. Students in our fine arts program participate competitively in regional and state competitions and share the skills that they have honed in class with different community outlets. Oratorical contests, writing competitions, the school's award-winning literary magazine and yearbook afford our students other venues to demonstrate excellence. Our students' commitment to service learning is a commendable component of Daniel's tradition of excellence. Our students tutor younger children in our community and provide support for the Special Olympics. Furthermore, they offer their time to the elderly at area retirement centers. Our students recognize that it is important to share their time and talents.

A key factor in Daniel High's success is our 67-member faculty. Our professional staff, 76 percent of whom hold advanced degrees, boasts 18 National Board Certified teachers. Through continued professional development our teachers model life-long learning as an encouragement and example to our students.

While these accolades and accomplishments reflect the diligence and achievements of Daniel High School, the real focus of this institution is serving the needs of the young people from our three communities. For over fifty years Daniel High School has provided experiences that enabled our graduates to face future challenges with confidence and enthusiasm

Deborah Cadorette, School Improvement Council Chair & Sharon Huff, Principal

| Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |
| Number of surveys returned | 39 | 145 | 75 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 89.7% | 72.9% | 79.7% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 68.4% | 69.9% | 64.9% |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 87.2% | 85.1% | 63.5% |

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress NO

This school met 12 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

N/A

| School | Improvement Key |
|--------|---|
| NI | Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice. |
| CSI | Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services. |
| CA | Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action. |
| RP | Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan. |
| R | Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanction: Implement the restructuring plan. |
| DELAY | The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay." |
| HOLD | The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold." |

| Teacher Quality Data | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | | Our School | State |
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly | 0.2% | 1.8% | |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly | y qualified teachers | N/A | 6.8% |
| | Our School | State Objective | Met State Objective |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 0.0% | Yes |

| HSAP Performance E | HSAP Performance By Group | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | School % Proficient and Advanced* | District % Proficient and Advanced* | State % Proficient and Advanced* | Performance Objective Met | Participation Objective Met |
| English/Languag | ge Arts | - State | Perforr | nance | Objecti | ve = 52 | .3% (Pi | roficien | t and A | dvance | d) |
| All Students | 262 | 100 | 6.3 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 53.7 | 85.5 | 78.6 | 69.7 | Yes | Yes |
| Male | 141 | 100 | 9.4 | 13.8 | 26.1 | 50.7 | 84.1 | 72.4 | 64.6 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 121 | 100 | 2.6 | 12.8 | 27.4 | 57.3 | 87.2 | 84.8 | 74.8 | N/A | N/A |
| White | 219 | 100 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 27.9 | 59.1 | 90.2 | 81.1 | 81.7 | Yes | Yes |
| Africian American | 25 | 100 | 16.7 | 58.3 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 41.7 | 54.3 | 53.6 | I/S | I/S |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 | 100 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 45.5 | 81.8 | 80 | 83.1 | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 6 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | 56 | 59.7 | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | 65.5 | I/S | I/S |
| Disabled | 27 | 100 | 36 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 28 | 32.2 | 25.2 | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant | N/A | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | N/A | 40 | N/A | N/A |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 100 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 77.8 | 60 | 47.3 | I/S | I/S |
| Subsized meals | 63 | 100 | 15.5 | 39.7 | 25.9 | 19 | 56.9 | 63.1 | 55.1 | Yes | Yes |
| Mathematic | s - Sta | te Perfo | ormanc | e Objec | ctive = | 50.0% (| Proficie | ent and | Advan | ced) | |
| All Students | 262 | 100 | 5.1 | 15.7 | 24.3 | 54.9 | 83.9 | 73.9 | 67.2 | Yes | Yes |
| Male | 141 | 100 | 5.8 | 15.2 | 26.8 | 52.2 | 84.1 | 71.7 | 66.3 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 121 | 100 | 4.3 | 16.2 | 21.4 | 58.1 | 83.8 | 76 | 68 | N/A | N/A |
| White | 219 | 100 | 4.2 | 9.8 | 28.4 | 57.7 | 88.8 | 75.7 | 79.6 | Yes | Yes |
| Africian American | 25 | 100 | 16.7 | 66.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 29.2 | 50 | 49.7 | I/S | I/S |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 | 100 | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | 93.3 | 88.9 | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 6 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | 66.7 | 60 | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | 69.5 | I/S | I/S |
| Disabled | 27 | 100 | 44 | 28 | 20 | 8 | 32 | 32.2 | 23.8 | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant | N/A | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | N/A | 46.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Limited English Proficient | 12 | 100 | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | 72 | 54.9 | I/S | I/S |
| Subsized meals | 63 | 100 | 13.8 | 39.7 | 20.7 | 25.9 | 50 | 56.9 | 53.1 | Yes | Yes |
| | <u> </u> | · | · | · | · | · | · | | | <u> </u> | · |
| F | hysical | Science | ce (End | d-of-Co | urse P | erform | ance by | Group | 0) | | |
| All Students | 258 | 97.7 | 52.7 | 19.8 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 25.2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Male | 128 | 96.1 | 50.8 | 19.5 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 25.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 130 | 99.2 | 54.6 | 20.0 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 24.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| White | 198 | 97.5 | 46.5 | 22.2 | 17.2 | 11.6 | 28.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Africian American | 34 | 97.1 | 91.2 | 5.9 | N/A | N/A | I/S | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 16 | 100.0 | 31.3 | 18.8 | 12.5 | 37.5 | I/S | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Hispanic | 10 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | I/S | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Hispanic 10 100.0 80.0 20.0 N/A N/A I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A Disabled 32 93.8 75.0 I/S N/A 15.6 3.1 N/A N/A N/A N/A Migrant N/A I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A Limited English Proficient 16 100.0 50.0 25.0 I/S N/A N/A N/A N/A 18.8 6.3 Subsized meals 94.9 76.9 10.3 7.7 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

^{*} Adj - Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

| Two-Year HSAP Trend Data | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | School Year | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | School % Proficient and Advanced* | District % Proficient and Advanced* | State % Proficient and Advanced* |
| English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 52.3% (Proficient and Advanced) | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Students | 2007 | 240 | 99.6 | 9.1 | 16.4 | 25.4 | 49.1 | 81.0 | 78.3 | 70.7 |
| | 2008 | 262 | 100 | 6.3 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 53.7 | 85.5 | 78.6 | 69.7 |
| Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% (Proficient and Advanced) | | | | | | | | | | |

| Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% (Proficient and Advanced) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| All Students | 2007 | 240 | 99.6 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 27.6 | 47.0 | 78.4 | 69.8 | 62.2 |
| | 2008 | 262 | 100 | 5.1 | 15.7 | 24.3 | 54.9 | 83.9 | 73.9 | 67.2 |